

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/722,382	11/28/2000	Mark M. Leather	723-961	723-961 4465	
7590 05/14/2004 NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201-4714			EXAMINER		
			BLACKMAN, ANTHONY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2676	1),	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004	. 19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Off Action Commen	09/722,382	LEATHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANTHONY J BLACKMAN	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	March 2004					
	is action is non-final.	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	an pante manying tool in it.	00 0.0.210.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-30 and 32-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

and the second second second second second

Application/Control Number: 09/722,382

Art Unit: 2676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of GROUP I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Examiner does not know how to distinguish between "...logical direct and indirect coordinate data...". Examiner interprets logical direct and indirect coordinate data as best understood until applicant clarifies definition and use of both terms.

Art Unit: 2676

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. McCool, M.D. et al., "Texture Shaders," PROCEEDINGS 1969 EUROGRAPHICS/SIGGRAPH WORKSHOP ON GRAPHICS HARDWARE, Los Angeles, CA, Aug 8-9, 1999, SIGGRAPH/EOROGRAPHICS WORKSHOP ON GRAPHICS HARDWARE, New York, NY: ACM, US, pages 122-125, Figure 4 (08/08/1999).
- 6. As per claim 1, examiner interprets McCool, M.D. et al to disclose the following recited claim language, "In a graphics system including a graphics processing pipeline that renders and displays images at least in part in response to primitive vertex data and texture data (figure 3, page 122, section 4.5, lines 1-11), a texture processing system for mapping a texture to a surface of a rendered image object (figure 3, page 122, section 4.5, lines 1-11, section 4.6, lines 15-19, section 6.5, lines 16-23), said texture processing system comprising:

Art Unit: 2676

a texture coordinate/data processing unit that interleaves processing of logical direct and indirect coordinate data (figure 3, page 122, section 4.5, lines 1-11, section 4.6, lines 15-19):

a texture data retrieval unit (figure 3-Texture Lookup Table and Filter units) connected to the coordinate/data processing unit

(figure 3 Rasterization and Interpolation Unit and Texture Shader Unit), the texture data retrieval unit retrieving texture data (from the Texture Coordinate Generation (texture-direct coordinates) and Lighting

(texture/lighting/environment/Phong/bump/warping/meta-texture/pseudo 3d texture-indirect coordinates) unit): and a data feedback path from the texture data retrieval unit to the texture coordinate/data processing unit to allow reuse of the texture coordinate/data processing unit in the same rendering pass (figure 3-texture feedback processing); wherein in response to a set of indirect texture coordinates the retrieval unit (figure 3, Texture Lookup and Filter Units) recirculates retrieved texture data back to the processing unit (figure 3- Rasterization and Interpolation unit and Texture Shader unit) for deriving modified texture coordinates which are used in mapping a texture to a surface of a rendered image object (figure 3); wherein in response to a set of indirect texture coordinates the retrieval unit recirculates retrieved texture data back to the processing unit for deriving modified texture coordinates which are used in mapping a texture to a surface of a rendered image object (figure 3 and section 6.6).

Art Unit: 2676

7. As per claim 2, Mccool et al meet limitations of claim 1, including, wherein the texture coordinate/data processing unit further comprises a set of hardware control logic registers coupled to data lines in the pipeline for receiving data and processing command information used to initiate indirect texture referencing and to control multiplication and addition operations for deriving said modified texture coordinates (figure 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCool, M.D. et al., "Texture Shaders," PROCEEDINGS 1969 EUROGRAPHICS/SIGGRAPH WORKSHOP ON GRAPHICS HARDWARE, Los Angeles, CA, Aug 8-9, 1999, SIGGRAPH/EOROGRAPHICS WORKSHOP ON GRAPHICS HARDWARE, New York, NY: ACM, US, pages 122-125, Figure 4 (08/08/1999) in view of DULUK, Jr. et al, US Patent No. 6,597,363.
- 10. As per claim 31, examiner interprets McCool M.D. et al to suggest at least suggest In a graphics system including a graphics processing pipeline that renders and displays images at least in part in response to polygon vertex data and

Page 5

Art Unit: 2676

texture data stored in a memory (figure 3, section 2.4, section 4.5, lines 1-11), the graphics processing pipeline having a texture

subsystem for accessing and retrieving texture (figure 3, section 4.5, lines 1-11), the texture subsystem comprising a

texture coordinate/data processing unit (figure 3-Rasterization and Interpolation Unit and the Texture shader unit) having:

a) at least one binary data multiplier (section 6.5, page 125, lines 2-9 correspond to the means of an arithmetic logic unit),

at least one binary data accumulator (section 6.5, page 125, lines 2-9 correspond to the means of an arithmetic logic unit), receiving instruction codes and/or data to control texture coordinate/data

processing operations (figure 4, section 6.5, page 124, column 1, lines 12-15, further, it would have been),

b) a texture data retrieval unit connected to the

coordinate/data processing unit (figure 3, Texture lookup and Filters Units connected to Rasterization and Interpolation Units and Shader Unit), the texture data retrieval unit retrieving texture

data stored in a texture memory (figure 3-Texture Lookup and Filter Units and Memory Unit) and

c) a data feedback path (figure 3 and section 6.6) from the texture data retrieval unit to the texture coordinate/data processing unit to recycle retrieved texture data through the texture coordinate/data processing unit for further

Art Unit: 2676

processing (figure 3 and section 6.6), wherein in response to a set of indirect texture coordinates the retrieval

unit provides retrieved texture data to the processing unit for deriving modified texture coordinates (figure 3 and section 6.6) a method for controlling the texture subsystem (figure 3, section 2.4, lines 1-25) to perform one or more indirect texture referencing operations comprising the step of utilizing a generalized indirect-texture referencing API command function (figure 3, section 2.4, lines 1-25) to place appropriate instruction codes and/or data in said control register(s) (figure 4, section 6.5, page 124, column 2, line 22-page 125, lines 1-9) wherein said indirect-texture referencing function may be used (figure 3, section 2.4, lines 1-25), however, does not expressly teach the following shading means limitations to at least: (i) define up to eight textures stored in a texture memory:

- (ii) specify up to eight sets of texture coordinates: (iii) define up to four indirect texture maps:
- (iv) specify up to four indirect texture referencing operations to be performed:
- (v) associate one of said eight textures with each indirect texture map: and
- (vi) associate one of said eight sets of texture coordinates with each indirect texture maps. DULUK, Jr. et al suggest the following claim limitation with the at least Fragment 11000, Texture 12000, Phong 14000 and pixel 15000 units (figures 57 and 62-63)-indirect-texture referencing function may be used to at least: (i) define up to eight textures stored in a texture memory (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32): (ii) specify up to eight sets of texture coordinates (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32): (iii) define up to four indirect texture maps (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32): (iv)

Art Unit: 2676

Page 8

ormed (column 28,

specify up to four indirect texture referencing operations to be performed (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32): (v) associate one of said eight textures with each indirect texture map (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32): and (vi) associate one of said eight sets of texture coordinates with each indirect texture maps (column 28, line 38-column 29, line 32). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the at least Fragment 11000, Texture 12000, Phong 14000 and pixel 15000 units, including the Texture Block "... texture maps at different levels of detail... (column 28, lines 47-50)" bump map and Phong shading means (column 28, lines 56-60) for three-dimensional graphics processing implementing different shading and other enhanced features (column 1, lines 9-15) of DULUK, Jr. et al to modify the "... high-level shader metaprogramming ..." (abstract, lines 14-18) of McCool M.D. et al because adding the Texture Block of DULUK, Jr., et al at least performs alternate interpolation methods, such as anisotropic interpolation (column 28, lines 53-56) improving high-level shader metaprogramming.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DONOVAN ET AL, US Patent No. 6,593,923; DULUK et al, US Patent No. 6,229,553 and HOCHMUTH et al, US Patent No. 6,337,689.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J BLACKMAN whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2676

703-305-0833. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-through Friday 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW BELLA can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANTHOMY J BLACKMAN

Page 9

Examiner Art Unit 2676

\*\*\*

Marthew C. Bella SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600